

1 HOUSTON WATSON P.C.
2 HOUSTON WATSON II (SBN 163703)
3 2501 S. El Camino Real Suite 101
4 San Clemente CA 92672
5 Phone (562)294-9694
6 Email: houston@houstonwatsonlaw.com
7 Attorneys for Defendant
8 JOHN DOE subscriber assigned
9 IP address 172.90.110.132

10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
11 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

12 STRIKE 3 HOLDINGS, LLC,
13 Plaintiff,
14 vs.
15 JOHN DOE subscriber assigned IP
16 address 172.90.110.132,
17 Defendants.

Case No.: 8:22-cv-01352-TJH-SP

**DEFENDANT’S REPLY TO
PLAINTIFF’S OPPOSITION TO
DEFENDANTS MOTION TO
QUASH**

Action Filed July 21, 2022

19
20 TO THE COURT AND THE PLAINTIFF AND ITS ATTORNEYS OF
21 RECORD:

22 Defendant John Doe subscriber assigned IP address 172.90.110.132 (“John
23 Doe”) files this Reply (the “Reply”) to Plaintiff’s Opposition to John Doe’s Motion
24 to Quash subpoena (the “Opposition”). Plaintiff’s counsel is correct on one point
25 raised in his Opposition. Despite my paralegal inadvertently attaching a copy of the
26 letter from the cable company informing John Doe of the subpoena to the exhibit
27 filed in connection with this Motion, the fact remains that it is was fault and
28 responsibility of counsel for John Doe to ensure that John Doe’s identity was not

1 disclosed. John Doe played no part in the inadvertent disclosure. Accordingly, John
2 Doe would respectfully request that should this Court grant this Motion, it strike the
3 first page of Exhibit A to this Motion *which sets forth the name of a renter who*
4 *currently resides at the property.* Likewise, in the event that this Court denies the
5 Motion, John Doe respectfully requests that this Court strike the first page of Exhibit
6 A to this Motion as well as enter appropriate protective orders to ensure the
7 protection of the identity and the address of John Doe.

8 As far as the personal attacks levelled at counsel by opposing counsel, counsel
9 for John Doe refuses to be drawn into such unprofessional conduct and notes that
10 despite the fact that the motion to quash may have been denied the cases identified
11 by Plaintiff's counsel, the facts here are significantly different and this Court is well
12 aware of the "Soprano's like" litigation tactics employed by the Plaintiff in these
13 cases.

14 Accordingly, John Doe respectfully requests this Court grant the Motion.

15
16 Dated: November 11, 2022

17
18 By: /s/ Houston Watson
HOUSTON WATSON
19 Attorney for Defendants JOHN DOE
20 subscriber assigned IP address
21 172.90.110.132
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on November 10, 2022, I electronically filed the foregoing document and that it is available for viewing and downloading from the Court's CM/ECF system, and that all participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the CM/ECF system.

/s/ Houston Watson